

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/776,770	02/06/2001	Martin J. Goldberg	PM 0266874 3088.2	3914
7	7590 04/11/2002			
Pillsbury Winthrop LLP			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Group			TAYLOR, JANELL E	
East Tower, Ninth Floor 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3918				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
washington, E	20003 3710		1634	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2002	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		09/776,770	GOLDBERG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Janell Cleveland Tayl				
۔ Period fo		Jears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address			
THE M - Extens after S - If the j - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, n by within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	<u> March 2002</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
_	on of Claims					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28-35</u> is/are withdra	wn from consideration				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requiremer	t.			
• -	on Papers	or				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[_]						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
· ·	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been receive	d.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
*:	 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					
;	a) The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application	has been received.			
Attachme						
1) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her: Detailed Action .			

Application/Control Number: 09/776,770

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. While applicant may be his or her own lexicographer, a term in a claim may not be given a meaning repugnant to the usual meaning of that term. See *In re Hill*, 161 F.2d 367, 73 USPQ 482 (CCPA 1947). The term "amplification reagent" in claims 1-27 is used by the claim to mean an antibody, or DNA matrix, or another element which will bind the reagent, while the accepted meaning is "a reagent that aids in the amplification of nucleic acids" such as a buffer, magnesium, etc. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefore ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-27 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,203,989. This is a double patenting rejection. The claims are identical.

Application/Control Number: 09/776,770

Art Unit: 1634

Conclusion

Any inquiries of a general nature relating to this application, including information on IDS forms, status requests, sequence listings, etc. should be directed to the Patent Analyst, Chantae Dessau, whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janell Taylor Cleveland, whose telephone number is (703) 305-0273.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached at (703) 308-1152.

Papers related to this application may be submitted by facsimile transmission.

Papers should be faxed to Group 1634 via the PTO Fax Center using (703) 872-9306 or 872-9307 (after final). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG (November 15, 1989.)

Janell Taylor Cleveland

April 4, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600